

# FY26 Governor's Awards for the Arts - Accessibility

## Nomination Evaluation Rubric

### Physical Accessibility (Facilities) – 12% of total score

<b>Architectural accessibility assessment</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> No. An architectural accessibility assessment has not been completed in the past three years.	<b>6 Points:</b> Yes. An architectural accessibility assessment has been completed in the past three years.		
<b>Accessibility features</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having none of the accessibility features listed.	<b>1-2 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 1-2 of the accessibility features listed.	<b>3-4 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 3-4 of the accessibility features listed.	<b>5-6 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 5-6 of the accessibility features listed.

### Digital Accessibility (website) – 12% of total score

<b>Digital accessibility assessment</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> No. A digital accessibility assessment has not been completed in the past three years.	<b>6 Points:</b> Yes. A digital accessibility assessment has been completed in the past three years.		
<b>Digital accessibility overview</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having none of the accessibility features listed.	<b>1-2 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 1-2 of the accessibility features listed.	<b>3-4 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 3-4 of the accessibility features listed.	<b>5-6 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 5-6 of the accessibility features listed.

### Programmatic Accessibility – 12% of total score

<b>Requesting accommodations</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> No. The nominee does not have a process for people with disabilities to request accommodations when attending programs.	<b>6 Points:</b> Yes. The nominee does have a process for people with disabilities to request accommodations when attending programs.		
<b>Programmatic accessibility offerings</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having none of the accessibility features listed.	<b>1-2 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 1-2 of the accessibility features listed.	<b>3-4 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 3-4 of the accessibility features listed.	<b>5-6 Points:</b> The nominee has indicated having 5-6 of the accessibility features listed.

### Culture/Organization Accessibility – 14% of total score

<b>504/Accessibility Coordinator</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> No. The nominee does not have a 504/Accessibility Coordinator.	<b>3 Points:</b> Yes. The nominee has a 504/Accessibility Coordinator.
<b>Board representation</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> No. The nominee does not have disability representation on their board.	<b>3 Points:</b> Yes. The nominee has disability representation on their board.

<b>Disability consultation/advisory board</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> No. The nominee does not seek disability-led consultation for their accessibility work.	<b>4 Points:</b> Yes. The nominee does seek disability-led consultation for their accessibility work.		
<b>Accessibility training</b>	<b>0 Points:</b> The nominee has not offered accessibility training/professional development to their staff.	<b>1-2 Points:</b> The nominee has rarely offered accessibility training/professional development opportunities to their staff.	<b>3 Points:</b> The nominee has occasionally offered accessibility training/professional development opportunities to their staff.	<b>4 Points:</b> The nominee has frequently offered accessibility training/professional development to their staff.
<b>Accessibility Overview and History – 50% of total score</b>				
<b>Accessibility work overview</b>	<b>0-2 Points:</b> The nominee has not made efforts to support accessibility for people with disabilities. They have not shared when their work began or how it was started.	<b>3-5 Points:</b> The nominee has made some efforts to support accessibility for people with disabilities. They have included some information about when efforts began, as well as some indication about what started the work.	<b>6-8 Points:</b> The nominee has made consistent efforts to support accessibility for people with disabilities. They have included when efforts began, as well as a clear catalyst for the start of the work.	<b>9-10 Points:</b> The nominee has made very consistent, impactful efforts to support accessibility for people with disabilities. They have included when efforts began, as well as a very clear catalyst for the start of the work.
<b>Community impact</b>	<b>0-2 Points:</b> The organization has not impacted the community for the better through their accessibility work; little to nothing has changed.	<b>3-5 Points:</b> The organization has moderately impacted the community for the better through their accessibility work; there has been some change.	<b>6-8 Points:</b> The organization has significantly impacted the community for the better through their accessibility work; there has been notable change.	<b>9-10 Points:</b> The organization has substantially impacted the community for the better through their accessibility work; there has been exceptional change.
<b>Outstanding accomplishment</b>	<b>0-2 Points:</b> The organization's accessibility achievement has not demonstrated their progress towards arts accessibility.	<b>3-5 Points:</b> The organization's accessibility achievement has vaguely demonstrated their progress towards arts accessibility.	<b>6-8 Points:</b> The organization's accessibility achievement has clearly demonstrated their progress towards arts accessibility.	<b>9-10 Points:</b> The organization's accessibility achievement has very clearly demonstrated their progress towards arts accessibility.

<b>Feedback</b>	<b>0-2 Points:</b> The organization is not seeking feedback from the disability community.	<b>3-5 Points:</b> The organization has limited methods of seeking feedback from the disability community.	<b>6-8 Points:</b> The organization has a clear way of seeking feedback from the disability community.	<b>9-10 Points:</b> The organization has very clear ways of seeking consistent feedback from the disability community.
<b>Future Plans</b>	<b>0-2 Points:</b> The organization has no plans for the future of their accessibility work.	<b>3-5 Points:</b> The organization has vague plans for the future of their accessibility work.	<b>6-8 Points:</b> The organization has clear plans for the future of their accessibility work.	<b>9-10 Points:</b> The organization has substantial plans for the future of their accessibility work.